

# ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26470-x



# Direct copolymerization of ethylene with protic comonomers enabled by multinuclear Ni catalysts

**OPEN** 

Gang Ji<sup>1</sup>, Zhou Chen<sup>1</sup>, Xiao-Yan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiao-Shan Ning<sup>1</sup>, Chong-Jie Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Xing-Min Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Wen-Jie Tao<sup>1</sup>, Jun-Fang Li<sup>1</sup>, Yanshan Gao <sup>●</sup> <sup>1</sup>, Qi Shen<sup>2</sup>, Xiu-Li Sun <sup>●</sup> <sup>1⊠</sup>, Hao-Yang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jun-Bo Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Bo Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yin-Long Guo<sup>1<sup>∞</sup></sup>, Yanan Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Jiajie Sun<sup>3</sup>, Yi Luo<sup>3,4<sup>∞</sup></sup> & Yong Tang <sup>●</sup> <sup>1<sup>∞</sup></sup>

Ethylene/polar monomer coordination copolymerization offers an attractive way of making functionalized polyolefins. However, ethylene copolymerization with industrially relevant short chain length alkenoic acid remain a big challenge. Here we report the efficient direct copolymerization of ethylene with vinyl acetic acid by tetranuclear nickel complexes. The protic monomer can be extended to acrylic acid, allylacetic acid,  $\omega$ -alkenoic acid, allyl alcohol, and homoallyl alcohol. Based on X-ray analysis of precatalysts, control experiments, solvent-assisted electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry detection of key catalytic intermediates, and density functional theory studies, we propose a possible mechanistic scenario that involves a distinctive vinyl acetic acid enchainment enabled by Ni···Ni synergistic effects. Inspired by the mechanistic insights, binuclear nickel catalysts are designed and proved much more efficient for the copolymerization of ethylene with vinyl acetic acid or acrylic acid, achieving the highest turnover frequencies so far for both ethylene and polar monomers simultaneously.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China. <sup>2</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Soochow University, Suzhou, China. <sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, China. <sup>4</sup> Petrochina Petrochemical Research Institute, Beijing, China. <sup>See</sup>email: xlsun@sioc.ac.cn; ylguo@sioc.ac.cn; luoyi@dlut.edu.cn; tangy@sioc.ac.cn

olyethylene (PE) is one of the most used plastic materials, which is in part due to its chemical stability/inertness. However, the non-polar feature also limits its further applications that require adhesiveness, compatibility, toughness, adhesion, surface properties (dyeability, printability, etc.) and rheological properties<sup>1</sup>. Industrial applications mainly rely on post-polymerization functionalization of polyolefins, which are usually under harsh conditions and lack selectivity due to the radical process that induces chain scission and cross-linking etc<sup>2</sup>. Ethylene coordination copolymerization with polar monomer is a straightforward option attracting much attention, but only limited success has been achieved so far. For example, group 4 transition metal catalysts have been very successful in olefin polymerizations, however, their use in polar monomer copolymerization is severely limited due to high catalyst oxophilicity<sup>3-5</sup>. Late transition metal catalysts<sup>6-13</sup> such as Brookhart-<sup>9,13-16</sup>, Grubbs-<sup>12,17</sup>, Drent-<sup>11,18-20</sup>, and Mitsubishi-type<sup>21-23</sup> complexes, etc. exhibit greater polar comonomer tolerance and used in ethylene copolymerizations with a wide range of polar comonomers. But there is still a lack of catalyst systems with high efficiency and Mw capability in olefin-polar monomer copolymerization. Thus, developing new catalyst systems is still a great challenge that attracts considerable attention from both academia and industry<sup>24</sup>.

Even more challenging is to incorporate short chain length alkenoic acid, such as acrylic acid (AA) and vinyl acetic acid (VA) into PEs<sup>25-27</sup>, since: (i) acidic proton in comonomers such as alkenoic acids can protonate and thus poison the catalyst<sup>27</sup>; (ii) strong carboxylate coordination can promote the formation of stable chelate complex or  $\beta$ -X elimination<sup>28,29</sup>. Thus, although a few results of direct copolymerization of ethylene with AA using palladium catalyst based on either phosphinesulfonate or diphosphazane monoxide ligand were reported<sup>25-27</sup>, AA are more harmful to enchainment than the corresponding aprotic monomers in all the cases. For example, less than half AA enchainment was obtained comparing to methyl acrylate (MA) in ethylene copolymerization using phosphinesulfonate palladium under identical conditions<sup>26</sup>. As PEs containing -COOH groups are particularly important targets<sup>30</sup>, we are interested in the topic for several years<sup>4,31,32</sup>. Here we show a tetranuclear Ni catalyst system, which shows much higher efficiency in enchaining protic VA without compromising activity or  $M_w$  capability vs. its aprotic analog methyl vinyl acetate (MVA). The same trends are also seen for a range of protic monomers such as allylacetic acid, walkenoic acid, allyl alcohol and homoallyl alcohol, exhibiting abnormal effects in contrast to previous catalyst systems. X-Ray analysis of precatalysts, control experiments, solvent-assisted electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (SAESI-MS) detection of key intermediates, and DFT studies reveal a possible mechanistic scenario that involves a distinctive VA enchainment enabled by Ni-Ni synergistic effects. Based on the mechanistic insights, a binuclear nickel catalyst is further designed and proves much more efficient for the copolymerization of ethylene with either VA or AA, achieving the highest TOFs so far for both ethylene and polar monomers simultaneously<sup>25–27</sup>.

# **Results and Discussion**

Synthesis and characterization of tetranuclear nickel complexes. Complexes 1a-c were synthesized in good yields. Bubbling  $O_2^{33}$  into acetonitrile or toluene solution of 1a-c at 40 °C leads to solution color changes from blue to red or brown (Supplementary Methods 1.2). After recrystallization from CH<sub>3</sub>CN/*n*-hexane or THF/*n*-hexane solution, pure 2a-c were obtained and characterized by Elem. Anal. and further confirmed by X-ray analysis (Fig. 1a, b). As shown in Fig. 1b, both 2a and 2c are tetranuclear nickel clusters composed of two oxidized ligands. The Ni1--Ni2 distances for **2a** and **2c** are 3.331 Å and 3.324 Å, respectively, which are shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of two nickel atoms  $(4.44 \text{ Å})^{34}$ . These are among one of the shortest Ni···Ni distances in bimetallic Ni complexes for olefin polymerization such as by Marks (~3.1 Å)<sup>35</sup>, Takeuchi (4.73 Å)<sup>36</sup>, which show great Ni···Ni synergistic effects. The Ni1-O1 bond lengths are 1.991(5) and 2.002(3) Å, and the Ni2-O1 bond lengths are 2.041(5) and 2.056(3) Å for **2a** and **2c**, respectively, which are slightly longer than that in salicylaldiminato Ni(II) complex (1.910 Å)<sup>10</sup>, suggesting similar Ni-O bond strengths/robustness even for the shared O in **2**.

**Ethylene polymerization**. Upon activation with modified methylaluminoxane (MMAO)<sup>37</sup>, the conversion of mononuclear **1a-c** into tetranuclear **2a-c** change them from inactive catalysts into very active ones (Table 1, entries 1-3 vs. 4-6). For example, **2a** promotes ethylene polymerization with activity as high as 2928 kg/(mol Cat)·h·atm, and high  $M_w$ , 850 kg/mol (entry 4). Replacing <sup>i</sup>Pr group on aniline by methyl group (**2b**) or Cl (**2c**) results in slightly decreased activity and PE  $M_w$  (entries 5-6).

Ethylene + polar monomer copolymerization. Precatalysts 2a-c are used for ethylene + polar monomer copolymerizations following three different procedures (Table 2, Supplementary Methods 1.3). Procedure A: polar monomer is mixed with MMAO in toluene under ethylene for 5 min before 2 is introduced to start polymerization; Procedure B: polar monomer is pretreated with MMAO for 24 h before 2 is introduced under ethylene to start polymerization without additional MMAO; Procedure C: polar monomer is pretreated with 1.2 equiv. R<sub>3</sub>Al (R = Me, TMA; R = Et, TEA; R = <sup>*i*</sup>Bu, TIBA) for 24 h before MMAO and 2 are introduced under ethylene to start polymerization.

Following Procedure A, i.e., no polar monomer pretreatment, precatalyst 2a catalyzes ethylene + vinylacetic acid (VA) copolymerization with an activity of 54 kg/(mol Cat) h atm and great VA incorporation (2.1 mol%, entry 1). VA incorporation is confirmed by a combined NMR (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, COSY, HSQC, HMBC) and ATR-IR characterizations (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Fig. 17). Unexpectedly, following Procedure B, i.e., mixing VA with MMAO for 24 h, results in a marginal increase of activity to 84 kg/(mol Cat)·h·atm with a significant 5.3× decrease in VA incorporation to 0.4 mol% (entry 5 vs. 1). This trend is counterintuitive as pretreating polar monomers with aluminoxane or  $R_3Al$  is an effective strategy for group  $4^{3,4,32}$  and late<sup>38,39</sup> transition metal complexes catalyzed polar monomer copolymerizations. It is further confirmed by control experiments following Procedure C, in which the copolymerizations with 2a only enchain ~0.5 mol% VA regardless of the type of R<sub>3</sub>Al used (entries 6-8). We also tried corresponding aprotic methyl vinylacetate (MVA) following Procedure A, in which comparable activity is obtained but with obviously reduced comonomer incorporation (1.1 mol% vs. 2.1 mol%) and  $M_w$  (15 kg/mol vs. 33 kg/mol) (entry 9 vs. 1). These intriguing trends likely suggest the abnormal effects of -COOH, in contrast to previous catalyst systems<sup>11,40</sup>, showing the unique character of 2 in maintaining good activity and enhancing protic monomer enchainment when Procedure A is followed. In contrast, the well-established adiimine NiBr<sub>2</sub> 3 (Fig. 1c) only gives good activity in ethylene + VA copolymerization by pretreating VA with TMA (Procedure C) but shows negligible activity following Procedure A (entry 21 vs. 22). While neutral o-bis(aryl)-phosphinophenolate nickel catalysts  $4^{23}$  and  $5^{21}$  (Fig. 1c) are known for good tolerance toward polar monomers<sup>21,22</sup>, these catalysts show negligible activity following Procedure A or typical literature conditions



Fig. 1 Structure formula and single crystal structures of catalysts. a Synthesis of tetranuclear nickel complexes 2a-2c. b Single crystal structures of complexes 2a and 2c. c Literature reported nickel complexes 3, 4 and 5. d Synthesis of complex 7. e Single crystal structure of complex 7.

Table 1 Ethylene polymerizations catalyzed by Ni catalysts 1, 2 and 7.											
Entry <sup>a</sup>	Cat. (µmol)	Yield (g)	Act. <sup>b</sup>	TOF	M <sub>w</sub> <sup>d</sup> (kg∕mol)	Ðď	Be	Chains/Cat.	<b>7</b> <sub>m</sub> <sup>f</sup> (⁰C)		
1	<b>1a</b> (2.5)	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2	<b>1b</b> (2.5)	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
3	<b>1c</b> (2.5)	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
4	<b>2a</b> (1.25)	0.61	2928	104571	850	2.4	22	1.4	108		
5	<b>2b</b> (1.25)	0.25	1200	42857	439	4.6	22	2.1	109		
6	<b>2c</b> (1.25)	0.18	864	30857	566	2.4	19	0.6	110		
7	<b>2a</b> (0.25)	0.24	5760	205714	904	2.1	18	2.2	109		
8 g	7 (2.5)	none	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

(entries 23-26 and Supplementary Table 3). Thus, these results further demonstrate the difference of cluster catalyst **2** from the known Ni catalysts.

Concurred with the trend observed in **2a** catalyzed ethylene copolymerization with protic monomer VA, i.e., enhanced comonomer incorporation, there is also a similar trend for both 4-pentenoic acid (PA) and 9-undecenoic acid (UA) following Procedure A vs. Procedure C, 5.8 vs. 1.0 mol% for PA (entry 10 vs. 11) and 13.9 vs. 2.7 mol% (entry 12 vs. 13) for UA. In addition, alkenol monomers can also be efficiently incorporated in **2a** catalyzed ethylene copolymerizations. For example, higher HAA incorporation is achieved following Procedure A vs. Procedure C (2.7 vs. 1.3 mol%, entry 15 vs. 17); and the incorporation of allylic alcohol (A-ol) is much higher than its aprotic analog AME (1.8 mol% vs. 0.04 mol%, entry 18 vs. 19). Thus, the above abnormal effects that protic monomer is incorporated much more efficiently than the corresponding aprotic monomers are

extensively observed in **2a**-catalyzed ethylene copolymerization with protic polar monomers.

**Rationale for the abnormal effects**. To get insights into the effects, we first designed ligand **6** and tried to synthesize mononuclear nickel complex with similar coordination environment to complex **2** to investigate whether it is a ligand effect or a Ni $\cdots$ Ni synergistic effect. The complex **7** shows very similar Ni-O and Ni-N bond parameters to **2a** and **2c** (Fig. 1d, e). However, **7** is inert in ethylene polymerization (Table 1, entry 8). This result suggests less ligand effect and that there may be a substantial synergism between the neighboring nickels during the copolymerization process.

To further understand the Ni…Ni synergistic effects<sup>41</sup>, a recently developed solvent-assisted electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (SAESI-MS) technique was used for straightforward capture of the species<sup>42</sup>. With SAESI-MS, we observed a strong

# ARTICLE

Table	Table 2 Copolymerization of ethylene and various polar monomers.											
			(FG	( (Tx	II	III	CO	2H	.CO <sub>2</sub> Me ( <sub>1</sub> ) <sup>CO<sub>2</sub>H (</sup>	J <sub>8</sub> <sup>CO₂</sup>	H	
		// + //	Cat./MMAO	~~~		$\sim$	VA	1	MVA PA U	JA		
		n = (	0, 1, 2, 8	FG	FG FG	$(f)^{(y)}_{y \ge 1}$	НАА	H	A-ol AME A	O <sub>2</sub> H		
		Procedure	es used in ethylene ·	+ polar monom	ner copol	ymerization						
		Procedure	A: no pretreatment	//	M <sub>n</sub> FG	+ MMAO	5 min	Cat C <sub>2</sub> H	Start rxn			
		Procedure	B: MMAO 24 h pretre	eatment	∼∕∕ <mark>FG</mark>	+ MMAO	24 h ►	Cat. C <sub>2</sub> H	➤ start rxn 4			
		Procedure	C: R <sub>3</sub> AI 24 h pretrea	tment //	∽ ( <mark>FG</mark> n	+ R <sub>3</sub> Al	24 h	MMA	Cat. → start rxn AO,C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>			
Ent. <sup>a</sup>	Cat. (µmol)	Comon. (M)	Pretreatment procedure& reagent	Yield (g)	Act. <sup>b</sup>	TOF <sup>c</sup> (E/ comon.)	M <sub>w</sub> d	Ðď	Incorp. <sup>e</sup> (mol%)	Bf	Chains /Cat.	<b>Т</b> <sub>с</sub> <sup>g</sup> (°С)
1	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	A, -	0.09	54	1807/40	33	3.3	2.1	76	1.0	79
2 <sup>h</sup>	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	A, -	0.08	48	1616/32	22	3.0	1.9	54	1.0	79
3	<b>2b</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	A, -	0.03	18	610/11	25	2.7	1.7	68	0.4	76
4	<b>2c</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	A, -	0.02	12	398/10	26	2.9	2.4	74	0.3	74
5	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	b, mmao	0.14	84	2965/11	102	3.6	0.4	76	0.5	99
6	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	C, TMA	0.12	72	2526/15	53	5.4	0.6	56	1.2	91
7	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	C, TEA	0.13	78	2749/12	47	6.1	0.4	69	1.7	92
8	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	VA (0.3)	C, TIBA	0.19	114	4018/17	33	3.6	0.4	55	2.1	92
9	<b>2a</b> (10.0)	MVA(0.3)	A, -	0.11	66	2267/25	15	3.0	1.1	79	2.1	81
10 <sup>i</sup>	<b>2a</b> (5.0)	PA (0.15)	A, -	0.17	408	11949/ 733	31	3.8	5.8	75	4.3	39
11 <sup>i</sup>	<b>2a</b> (5.0)	PA (0.15)	C, TMA	0.28	672	23168/ 233	122	2.6	1.0	38	1.2	82
12 <sup>i</sup>	<b>2a</b> (1.25)	UA (0.2)	A	0.14	1344	23235/ 3763	n.d.	n.d.	13.9	24	-	30
13 <sup>i</sup>	<b>2a</b> (1.25)	UA (0.2)	C, TIBA	0.15	1440	43522/ 1201	n.d.	n.d.	2.7	27	-	61
14 <sup>i</sup>	<b>2a</b> (2.5)	UA (0.4)	A, -	0.12	576	7345/ 2010	n.d.	n.d.	21.5	25	-	n.d.
15 <sup>j</sup>	<b>2a</b> (5.0)	HAA(0.2)	A, -	0.21	252	8399/ 233	87	2.9	2.7	49	1.4	70
16 <sup>j</sup>	<b>2a</b> (15.0)	HAA(0.2)	A, -	0.37	148	4623/ 257	39	3.2	5.3	60	2.0	55
17 <sup>j</sup>	<b>2a</b> (5.0)	HAA(0.2)	C, TMA	0.80	960	33174/ 432	261	4.0	1.3	32	2.5	86
18 <sup>k</sup>	<b>2a</b> (25.0)	A-ol (0.4)	A, -	0.10	24	826/15	8	3.2	1.8	86	1.6	59
19 <sup>k</sup>	<b>2a</b> (25.0)	AME(0.4)	A, -	0.10	24	856/0.3	14	3.2	0.04	69	0.9	91
20 <sup>k</sup>	<b>2a</b> (25.0)	AA (0.4)	A, -	0.12	29	1020/3	21	5.1	0.3	81	1.2	70
21	<b>3</b> (40.0)	VA (0.3)	A, -	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	<b>3</b> (40.0)	VA (0.3)	C, TMA	0.81	122	4313/9	62	2.0	0.2	118	0.7	n.d.
23 <sup>m</sup>	<b>4</b> (10.0)	AA(0.1)	-, -	none	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24 <sup>n</sup>	<b>4</b> (10.0)	AA(1.5)	A, DEAC	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 <sup>p</sup>	<b>5</b> (10.0)	AA(0.2)	-, -	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26 <sup>n</sup>	<b>5</b> (10.0)	AA(1.5)	A, DEAC	trace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<sup>a</sup> Conditi mol <sub>Cat</sub> .• <sup>i</sup> MMAO	ons: toluene, 50 ml h•atm. E, ethylene. (Al/Ni = 3000), 5	L; MMAO, 90 mmol <sup>d</sup> By GPC, kg/mol. <sup>e</sup> E 5 min. <sup>j</sup> MMAO, 60 n	(Al/Ni = 2250); ethyle <sup>3</sup> y <sup>1</sup> H NMR. <sup>f</sup> Total branch 1mol; <sup>k</sup> MMAO, 90 mmol	ne, 1 atm; 30 °C, nes/1000 C, by <sup>1</sup> H (Al/Ni = 900). <sup>n</sup>	10 min; ead NMR. Not "20 atm et	h entry perform e that branches hylene pressure	ed in dup due to po . 60 min, 1	licate. <sup>b</sup> lar mon 70 °C. <sup>n</sup>	kg/(mol Cat)•h•atm; <sup>c</sup> ln ı omer enchainment are no AA was in situ mixed witl	units of t count 1 75 mi	mol <sub>E</sub> /mol <sub>Cat</sub> .•h•atm; ed. <sup>g</sup> By DSC. <sup>h</sup> MMA nol DEAC before pol	; mol <sub>Comon</sub> / O, 30 mmol. lymerization,

3 atm ethylene pressure, 30 min, 30 °C. P10 atm ethylene pressure, 60 min, 50 °C.

peak that corresponds to  $[2a + Br]^-$  in dichloromethane (DCM), suggesting the cluster nickel complex 2a does not collapse in DCM (Fig. 2b). In contrast, only a signal at m/z 478 was observed in 7/DCM, suggesting the dimer collapses into monomer (Fig. 2a). Furthermore, a major signal at m/z 1291 was captured when 2a

was in situ mixed with MMAO (Al/Ni = 25, mimicking the polymerization conditions in Supplementary Table 1, entry 5), which is likely attributed to species  $[2a-2Br+H]^+$  (2aa) by reasonable inference (Fig. 2c). 2aa is likely formed via facile MMAO alkylation and then  $\beta$ -H elimination during 2a



**Fig. 2 SAESI-MS and NMR spectra. a** SAESI-MS spectra of **7** in DCM showing signals at  $m/z \ 478 \ [(0.5)7 + Br]^-$ . **b** SAESI-MS spectra of **2a** showing signals of  $[2a + Br]^-$ . **c** SAESI-MS spectra of **2a**/MMAO showing signals of  $[2a-2Br + H]^+$  (**2aa**). **d** SAESI-MS spectra of **2a**/MMAO/VA showing signals of  $[2a-2Br + H + VA]^+$  (**2ab**). **e** <sup>1</sup>H NMR tracking reaction mimicking polar monomer pretreatment procedures (in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C).



a Computed energy profiles for Ni mediated copolymerization of ethylene with VA anion.

**Fig. 3 DFT calculations. a** Computed energy profiles (MO6(SMD)/6-311 +  $G^{**} \cap SDD//B3LYP/6-31 G^* \cap LANL2DZ$ , the solvent used in calculations is toluene) for Ni mediated copolymerization of ethylene with VA anion (the effect of large aluminum counterion was not considered). The energies are relative to the intermediate **18. b** Calculated reaction energy (MO6(SMD)/6-311 +  $G^{**} \cap SDD//B3LYP/6-31 G^* \cap LANL2DZ$ , the solvent used in calculations is toluene) of the proton transfer. The energies are relative to the intermediate **18**.

activation<sup>37</sup>. We next explored the polar monomer enchainment step by SAESI-MS. When **2a**, VA and MMAO were mixed in situ (mimicking Procedure A), an intense signal at m/z 1377 was observed (Fig. 2d). This signal could be reasonably deduced as species (**2ab**). However, only the signal at m/z 1291 corresponding to [**2a**-2Br + H]<sup>+</sup>, instead of the chelating species **2ab** ([**2a**-2Br + H + VA]<sup>+</sup>, m/z 1377), was observed when VA was pretreated with MMAO for 24 h before contacting **2a** (Procedure B, Supplementary Fig. 3). The formation of **2ab**(I) and **2ab**(II) due to 2,1- or 1,2-insertion of VA into the Ni-H is consistent with the results of control experiment (Supplementary Methods 1.7, Supplementary Table 2). These results suggest the catalytic species is very likely still a nickel cluster and the aforementioned abnormal effects are associated with Ni…Ni synergetic effects.

To further understand the different results of SAESI-MS spectra, we monitored the reaction of MMAO + VA with a 2/1 molar ratio by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (the same MMAO/VA molar ratio as in Table 2, entry 2). As shown in Fig. 2e, nearly 50% free VA is intact after mixing for 10 min (Procedure A). The peak at 12.55 ppm was observed even after 30 min. and gradually



Fig. 4 Synthesis and structure of 29. a Synthesis of complex 29. b Single crystal structure of complex 29.

Ent. <sup>a</sup>	Pre. (atm)	T (°C)	t (min)	Comon. (M)	Pretreatment procedure &reagent <sup>b</sup>	Yield (g)	Act. <sup>c</sup>	TOF <sup>d</sup> (E/ comon.)	M <sub>w</sub> e (kg/ mol)	Đe	Incorp. <sup>f</sup> (mol%)	B. <sup>g</sup>	T <sub>m</sub> ʰ (°C)
1	1	30	5	VA(0.4)	A, -	0.45	540	17306/ 644	13	2.7	3.6	74	$6(T_{c})$
2	1	30	5	VA(0.4)	B, DEAC	0.87	1044	35692/ 518	71	2.9	1.4	82	$25(T_{c})$
3	1	30	5	VA(0.4)	C, TIBA	0.39	468	16441/ 89	206	3.5	0.5	78	$24(T_{c})$
4	1	30	5	MVA(0.4)	A, -	0.26	312	10976/ 47	72	1.6	0.4	67	$41(T_{c})$
5	1	30	5	AA(0.4)	A, -	0.25	300	10013/ 272	1.5	2.9	2.6	35	15( <i>T</i> <sub>c</sub> )
6	1	30	5	MA(0.4)	A, -	0.24	288	10160/ 41	22	2.8	0.4	57	n.d.
7 <sup>i</sup>	3	30	30	AA(1.5)	A, -	1.32	264	8947/ 187	10	3.1	2.1	44	102
8 <sup>i</sup>	3	30	30	AA(1.5)	В, -	1.28	256	8869/ 106	14	3.3	1.2	36	100
9 <sup>i</sup>	10	90	30	AA(1.5)	A, -	0.29	58	1974/38	13	2.4	1.9	59	84

<sup>a</sup>Conditions: toluene, 50 mL; Cat. **29** (10 µmol dissolved in 5 mL toluene), DEAC (3.0 M in toluene), 30 mmol. <sup>b</sup>Procedures A-C are similar to those in Table 2 except that MMAO is replaced with DEAC. <sup>c</sup>kg/(mol<sub>cat</sub>)-h. <sup>d</sup>In units of mol<sub>E</sub>/mol<sub>cat</sub>-h; mol<sub>comon</sub>/mol<sub>cat</sub>-h. E, ethylene. <sup>e</sup>By GPC. <sup>f</sup>By <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>k</sup>By <sup>1</sup>D NMR. <sup>h</sup>By DSC. <sup>i</sup>DEAC, 75 mmol.

disappeared in 1 h (Procedure B). The existence of active proton under Procedure A-type condition and the lack thereof under Procedure B-type condition suggests the proton might play a significant role in the activation procedure-associated abnormal effects in 2 catalyzed ethylene + VA copolymerization.

To clarify the Ni...Ni synergistic effects<sup>43</sup> and the role of proton in the copolymerization of ethylene with protic polar comonomers, we carried out DFT calculations. From a practical point of view, the growing polymer chain was modeled by an *n*-propyl group, the insertion product of the first ethylene insertion<sup>44</sup>. Initially, the computed energy difference between 18 and 18-1  $(\Delta\Delta G = -7.7 \text{ kcal/mol})$  shows that simultaneous coordination of anionic vinyl acetate via its O atom to Ni2 and its C = C bond to Ni1 center is more favorable (Fig. 3a). The interaction between polar group and metal center $^{36,45-49}$  changes the polar monomer coordination from an intermolecular to an intramolecular process. Further insertion of such intramolecularly coordinated olefin occurs at the center of Ni1 with an energy barrier of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 15.5$ kcal/mol (19-TS), yielding a seven-membered ring chelate product 20. This step is dramatically exergonic by  $\Delta G = -20.2$ kcal/mol. These results suggest that the bimetallic cooperative VA coordination/insertion is both kinetically and thermodynamically feasible. The seven-membered ring chelate product 20 readily undergoes ethylene coordination/insertion giving intermediate 23 with an energy barrier of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 22.2$  kcal/mol and exergonic by  $\Delta G = -12.4$  kcal/mol. Alternatively, **20** could also undergo chainwalking. In this case, the  $\beta$ -H elimination is more kinetically favorable than ethylene insertion (energy barrier of 19.7 vs. 22.2 kcal/mol) (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, the re-insertion of the resulting Ni–H bond in **26** to give **27** is also exergonic. Though the re-insertion transition state was not located, this step should be kinetically accessible<sup>50</sup>. The results agree with the SAESI-MS studies which identified a strong signal that corresponds to **2ab** in the in situ mixed **2a**/MMAO/VA system and suggest the formation of copolymers with extended polar branches (Fig. 2d). This is consistent with the microstructural analysis of the copolymers by a combined NMR experiments (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, HSQC, and HMBC), in which the –COOH groups are observed at the end of branches with varied branch lengths (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Fig. 17).

In this proposed mechanistic scenario, the release of the –COONi from catalytic metal center is one of the key steps. DFT studies show that the proton could assist the release of the coordinating carboxyl group and thus allow further polar monomer enchainment in the copolymerization. As shown in Fig. 3b, the metallocycle in the intermediates such as 23, formed via Ni···Ni synergistic effects, eventually releases the coordinating carboxyl group via the proton transfer in the polymerization system, which circumvent chain termination and ensures multiple and efficient polar monomer enchainment. DFT calculations do show such a process is both thermodynamically and kinetically feasible ( $\Delta G = -9.3$  kcal/mol;  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 26.8$  kcal/mol).

Combining the aforementioned results of <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies, this explains well the observations that copolymerizations following Procedure A afford greater VA enchainment than those following Procedures B and C.

Binuclear nickel catalyst and the copolymerization of ethylene with VA or AA. The above studies show that tetranuclear cluster 2 could greatly enhance the enchainment of various protic monomers vs. aprotic analogs. We are glad to observe similar trends in catalysis with binuclear complex 29, which has a shorter Ni-Ni distance than those of 2a and 2c (3.259 Å vs. ~3.33 Å) (Fig. 4). Moreover, 29 gave both higher activities and higher incorporation of AA or VA compared with complexes 2, in particular for the industrially more relevant AA monomer (Table 3). For example, upon activation with Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl (DEAC), 29 gave an activity of 300 kg/(mol Cat.) h with 2.6 mol% AA incorporation— $8.7 \times$  higher than that of 2a (Table 3, entry 5 vs. Table 2, entry 20). Similar protic acid comonomer preference (VA and AA) vs. aprotic MVA and MA was also observed (entry 1 vs. 4; entry 5 vs. 6). Notably, 29 exhibits good thermal stability over 30 min even at 90 °C under 10 atm ethylene (entry 9). Thus, binuclear complex 29 achieved the highest TOFs for the copolymerization of ethylene with VA and AA<sup>25-27</sup>.

In summary, tetranuclear nickel complexes 2 prove successful precatalysts for direct copolymerization of ethylene with protic comonomers with high activity and incorporation. In contrast to known systems, 2 show much higher efficiency in enchaining VA without compromising activity or  $M_w$  capability vs. its aprotic analog MVA. This distinctive protic polar monomer preference is also observed for a range of other protic polar monomers such as allylacetic acid, ω-alkenoic acid, allyl alcohol, and homoallyl alcohol, etc. SAESI-MS captured the signal of  $[2a-2Br + H + VA]^+$  in mimicking the copolymerization procedure, suggesting the active species is likely still tetranuclear nickel species. <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies on the mixed VA + MMAO show proton exists within 30 min, corroborating with all polymerizations results, suggesting the important role the proton might play in the copolymerization. Based on the experimental results and DFT calculations, a rationale involving enchainment of VA enabled by distinctive Ni...Ni synergistic effects is proposed. Inspired by the mechanistic insights, the designed binuclear precatalyst 29 with shorter Ni...Ni distance is synthesized, which is much more efficient for the copolymerization of ethylene with VA and AA than tetranuclear complexes 2. Thus, we developed a potentially useful catalyst to enchain the industry-relevant monomers AA and VA into PE backbone, achieving the highest TOFs for the copolymerization of ethylene with VA and AA. This finding shows multinuclear catalysis as an effective strategy in direct coordination copolymerization of ethylene and protic comonomer and paves a way for the design of catalyst in the synthesis of functionalized PEs.

# Methods

**General Information**. For synthetic procedures, NMR spectra of compounds, NMR, GPC, and ATR-IR spectra of polymers, see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs. 14–36. For details on SAESI-MS studies of key species, see Supplementary Methods 1.6 and Supplementary Figs. 1–5. Model experiments to determine the insertion mode of polar monomers are given in Supplementary Methods 1.7 and Supplementary Figs. 6–8. NMR study of VA and butyric acid (BA) mixed with MMAO is shown in Supplementary Methods 1.8 and Supplementary Figs. 9. Computational studies can be found in Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Figs. 10–13. Polar copolymer microstructure analysis is given in Supplementary Note 1. Branching analysis from <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and polar monomer incorporation analysis from <sup>1</sup>H NMR are outlined in Supplementary Note 2 and 3.

#### **Data availability**

Experimental procedures and characterizations, including detailed experimental procedures, NMR spectra, complete polymerization experiment information, analysis of

the polymer structure, and computational details are available in the Supplementary Information. The data that support the plots within this paper are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. The X-ray crystallographic coordinates for structures reported in this study have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), under deposition numbers CCDC1575003 (2a), CCDC1575004 (2c), CCDC1575009 (7) and CCDC206294229 (29). These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

Received: 3 August 2021; Accepted: 28 September 2021; Published online: 01 November 2021

#### References

- Schöbel, A., Winkenstette, M., Anselment, T. M. J. & Rieger, B. 3.24-Copolymerization of alkenes and polar monomers by early and late transition metal catalysts. In Polymer Science: A Comprehensive Reference, Matyjaszewski, K. & Möller, M. Eds. Elsevier: Amsterdam, pp 779–823 (2012).
- Chung, T. C. In Functionalization of Polyolefins (ed T. C. Chung) 65–78 (Academic Press, 2002).
- Chen, J., Gao, Y. & Marks, T. J. Early transition metal catalysis for olefin-polar monomer copolymerization. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 59, 14726–14735 (2020).
- Yang, X.-H. et al. [O-NSR]TiCl3-catalyzed copolymerization of ethylene with functionalized olefins. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48, 8099–8102 (2009).
- Terao, H., Ishii, S., Mitani, M., Tanaka, H. & Fujita, T. Ethylene/polar monomer copolymerization behaviour of bis(phenoxy-imine)Ti complexes: formation of polar monomer copolymers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 17636–17637 (2008).
- Liang, T., Goudari, S. B. & Chen, C. A simple and versatile nickel platform for the generation of branched high molecular weight polyolefins. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 372–380 (2020).
- Kocen, A. L., Brookhart, M. & Daugulis, O. A highly active Ni(II)triadamantylphosphine catalyst for ultrahigh-molecular-weight polyethylene synthesis. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 438–444 (2019).
- 8. Chen, C. Designing catalysts for olefin polymerization and copolymerization: beyond electronic and steric tuning. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2**, 6–14 (2018).
- Chen, Z. & Brookhart, M. Exploring ethylene/polar vinyl monomer copolymerizations using Ni and Pd α-diimine catalysts. Acc. Chem. Res. 51, 1831–1839 (2018).
- Mu, H., Pan, L., Song, D. & Li, Y. Neutral nickel catalysts for olefin homo- and copolymerization: relationships between catalyst structures and catalytic properties. *Chem. Rev.* 115, 12091–12137 (2015).
- Nakamura, A. et al. Ortho-phosphinobenzenesulfonate: a superb ligand for palladium-catalyzed coordination-insertion copolymerization of polar vinyl monomers. Acc. Chem. Res. 46, 1438–1449 (2013).
- Younkin, T. R. et al. Neutral, single-component nickel (II) polyolefin catalysts that tolerate heteroatoms. *Science* 287, 460–462 (2000).
- Guan, Z., Cotts, P. M., McCord, E. F. & McLain, S. J. Chain walking: a new strategy to control polymer topology. *Science* 283, 2059–2062 (1999).
- Zhang, Y., Wang, C., Mecking, S. & Jian, Z. Ultrahigh branching of mainchain-functionalized polyethylenes by inverted insertion selectivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 59, 14296–14302 (2020).
- Chen, Z., Leatherman, M. D., Daugulis, O. & Brookhart, M. Nickel-catalyzed copolymerization of ethylene and vinyltrialkoxysilanes: catalytic production of cross-linkable polyethylene and elucidation of the chain-growth mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139, 16013–16022 (2017).
- Dai, S., Sui, X. & Chen, C. Highly robust palladium(II) α-diimine catalysts for slow-chain-walking polymerization of ethylene and copolymerization with methyl acrylate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 54, 9948–9953 (2015).
- Mecking, S. & Schnitte, M. Neutral nickel(II) catalysts: from hyperbranched oligomers to nanocrystal-based materials. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 53, 2738–2752 (2020).
- Jian, Z., Baier, M. C. & Mecking, S. Suppression of chain transfer in catalytic acrylate polymerization via rapid and selective secondary insertion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 2836–2839 (2015).
- Ito, S., Munakata, K., Nakamura, A. & Nozaki, K. Copolymerization of vinyl acetate with ethylene by palladium/alkylphosphine-sulfonate catalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 14606–14607 (2009).
- Drent, E., van Dijk, R., van Ginkel, R., van Oort, B. & Pugh, R. I. Palladium catalysed copolymerisation of ethene with alkylacrylates: polar comonomer built into the linear polymer chain. Chem. Commun. 744–745 (2002).
- Zhang, Y., Mu, H., Pan, L., Wang, X. & Li, Y. Robust bulky [P,O] neutral nickel catalysts for copolymerization of ethylene with polar vinyl monomers. ACS Catal. 8, 5963–5976 (2018).
- 22. Konishi, Y. et al. Nickel-catalyzed propylene/polar monomer copolymerization. *ACS Macro Lett.* **7**, 213–217 (2018).

- 23. Xin, B. S. et al. Nickel catalyzed copolymerization of ethylene and alkyl acrylates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 3611–3614 (2017).
- Gladysz, J. A. et al. Organometallics Roundtable 2011. Organometallics 31, 1–18 (2012).
- Chen, M. & Chen, C. A versatile ligand platform for palladium- and nickelcatalyzed ethylene copolymerization with polar monomers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57, 3094–3098 (2018).
- Zhang, D. & Chen, C. Influence of polyethylene glycol unit on palladium- and nickel-catalyzed ethylene polymerization and copolymerization. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 14672–14676 (2017).
- Rünzi, T., Fröhlich, D. & Mecking, S. Direct synthesis of ethylene-acrylic acid copolymers by insertion polymerization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 17690–17691 (2010).
- Sun, J., Chen, M., Luo, G., Chen, C. & Luo, Y. Diphosphazane-monoxide and phosphine-sulfonate palladium catalyzed ethylene copolymerization with polar Monomers: a computational study. *Organometallics* 38, 638–646 (2019).
- Gaikwad, S. R. et al. Reactivity of difunctional polar monomers and ethylene copolymerization: a comprehensive account. *Macromolecules* 50, 5748–5758 (2017).
- Zou, C. & Chen, C. Polar-functionalized, crosslinkable, self-healing, and photoresponsive polyolefins. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 59, 395–402 (2020).
- Sun, X.-L. & Tang, Y. Sidearm approach to the synthesis of catalysts used in olefin polymerization. Acta Polym. Sin. 7, 1019–1037 (2017).
- Chen, Z. et al. Copolymerization of ethylene with functionalized olefins by [ONX] titanium complexes. *Macromolecules* 46, 2870–2875 (2013).
- Azoulay, J. D. et al. Nickel α-keto-β-diimine initiators for olefin polymerization. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48, 1089–1092 (2009).
- Allinger, N. L., Zhou, X. & Bergsma, J. Molecular mechanics parameters. J. Mol. Struct.: Theochem. 312, 69–83 (1994).
- Rodriguez, B. A., Delferro, M. & Marks, T. J. Neutral bimetallic nickel(II) phenoxyiminato catalysts for highly branched polyethylenes and ethylene –norbornene copolymerizations. *Organometallics* 27, 2166–2168 (2008).
- Takeuchi, D., Chiba, Y., Takano, S. & Osakada, K. Double-decker-type dinuclear nickel catalyst for olefin polymerization: efficient incorporation of functional co-monomers. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 52, 12536–12540 (2013).
- Chen, E. Y.-X. & Marks, T. J. Cocatalysts for metal-catalyzed olefin polymerization: activators, activation processes, and structure-activity relationships. *Chem. Rev.* 100, 1391–1434 (2000).
- Carone, C. L. P. et al. Ethylene polymerization and copolymerization with 10undecen-1-ol using the catalyst system DADNi(NCS)2/MAO. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 45, 5199–5208 (2007).
- Correia, S. G. et al. Polymerization with TMA-protected polar vinyl comonomers. II. catalyzed by nickel complexes containing α-diimine-type ligands. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 37, 2471–2480 (1999).
- Tan, C. & Chen, C. Emerging palladium and nickel catalysts for copolymerization of olefins with polar monomers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 58, 7192–7200 (2019).
- Zhou, Y.-Y. & Uyeda, C. Catalytic reductive [4 + 1]-cycloadditions of vinylidenes and dienes. *Science* 363, 857–862 (2019).
- Zhang, J.-T., Wang, H.-Y., Zhu, W., Cai, T.-T. & Guo, Y.-L. Solvent-assisted electrospray ionization for direct analysis of various compounds (complex) from low/nonpolar solvents and eluents. *Anal. Chem.* 86, 8937–8942 (2014).
- Delferro, M. & Marks, T. J. Multinuclear olefin polymerization catalysts. Chem. Rev. 111, 2450–2485 (2011).
- Chen, J., Motta, A., Zhang, J., Gao, Y. & Marks, T. J. Mechanism of organoscandium-catalyzed ethylene copolymerization with amino-olefins: a quantum chemical analysis. ACS Catal. 9, 8810–8818 (2019).
- Wang, C. et al. Heteroatom-assisted olefin polymerization by rare-earth metal catalysts. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1701011 (2017).
- 46. Liu, D. et al. Stereoselective copolymerization of unprotected polar and nonpolar styrenes by an yttrium precursor: control of polar-group distribution and mechanism. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 2714–2719 (2017).
- Chen, J., Gao, Y., Wang, B., Lohr, T. L. & Marks, T. J. Scandium-catalyzed self-Assisted polar co-monomer enchainment in ethylene polymerization. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 15964–15968 (2017).

- Takano, S., Takeuchi, D., Osakada, K., Akamatsu, N. & Shishido, A. Dipalladium catalyst for olefin polymerization: introduction of acrylate units into the main chain of branched polyethylene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 53, 9246–9250 (2014).
- Radlauer, M. R., Buckley, A. K., Henling, L. M. & Agapie, T. Bimetallic coordination insertion polymerization of unprotected polar monomers: copolymerization of amino olefins and ethylene by dinickel bisphenoxyiminato catalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 3784–3787 (2013).
- Szabo, M. J. et al. Copolymerization of ethylene with polar monomers: chain propagation and side reactions. a DFT theoretical study using zwitterionic Ni(II) and Pd(II) catalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 14692–14703 (2005).

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21690072, 21672237, U1862115, 22071015, 21901253), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB 20000000, QYZDB-SSWSLH016), and the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFA0202900) as well as the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (17JC1401200) and Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (No. 2020259).

# **Author contributions**

Y.T. and X.-L.S. conceived the study; Y.T., X.-L.S., X.-Y.W., J.-F.L., and Q.S. planned the research; G.J., Z.C., X.-S.N, C.-J.X., X.-M.Z, and W.-J.T. synthesized and characterized the compounds; G.J., Z.C., and C.-J.X. conducted the olefin polymerization experiments; H.-Y.W., J.-B.Z., B.Z., and Y.-L.G. conducted the SAESI-MS Studies; Y.Z., J.S., and Y.L. designed and conducted the DFT calculations and analysis; Y.T., X.-L.S. X.-Y.W., and Y.G. prepared the manuscript, all the authors commented on the manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interest.

# **Additional information**

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26470-x.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Xiu-Li Sun, Yin-Long Guo, Yi Luo or Yong Tang.

**Peer review information** *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021